1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney		
2 3	BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
<b>4</b> 5	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052 Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055	)	
7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR 07-218 JSW	
15	Plaintiff,	) ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ) EXCLUDING TIME	
16	v.	) EXCLUDING TIME )	
17	ERNESTO OSEGUERA-OCHOA aka JOSE ANDINO-OCHOA,		
18	Defendant.		
19	Defendant.	)	
20	On August 23, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that		
21	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from August 23, 2007 through		
22	September 13, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. The parties represented that granting		
23	the continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel because the parties need to		
24	continue discussions with the United States Probation Department to correct the pre-plea report,		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		

## Case 3:07-cr-00218-JSW Document 32 Filed 11/06/07 Page 2 of 2

1	taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(iv).		
2			
3	SO STIPULATED:		
4	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney		
5	Office States Attorney		
6	DATED: October 26, 2007    S   DENISE MARIE BARTON		
7	Assistant United States Attorney		
8			
9	DATED: October 26, 2007  /s/ RONALD C. TYLER		
10	Attorney for ERNESTO OSEGUERA- OCHOA		
11	OCHOA		
12			
13	As the Court found on August 23, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds		
14	good cause, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases that		
15	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from August 23, 2007 through		
16	September 13, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A)(iv).		
17	The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for		
18	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a		
19	miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
20	SO ORDERED.		
21	SO ORDERED.		
22	DATED: November 6, 2007		
23	Honorpole Liftrey's. White		
24	United States Diagram Tudge		
25			
26			
27			
28			